



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,600	05/23/2001	Luc Attimont	Q64570	8352

23373 7590 07/27/2005
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

FERGUSON, KEITH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/862,600

Applicant(s)

ATTIMONT ET AL.

Examiner

Keith T. Ferguson

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parkkila.

The claimed invention reads on Parkkila as follows:

Regarding claim 1, Parkkila discloses a method (fig. 3) of connecting to a radiocommunication network (fig. 1) a terminal (mobile station) which is in a standby mode (idle mode) because of temporary unavailability (loss of service) of the signal from

Art Unit: 2683

the network (claim 1 lines 23-33), said method including a step of periodically scanning (i.e. the mobile station regularly looks to determine if there is a better cell in the term of reselection criteria by performing a measurement procedure) (col. 6 lines 56-67, col. 7 lines 37-51 and claim 1 lines 27-55) frequencies of said radiocommunication network (col. 6 lines 56-67, col. 7 lines 37-51 and claim 1 lines 27-55), when the signal intensity was approximately constant before said search using one sequences (i.e. a measurement procedures on BCCH carriers that were included in the last BCCH carrier allocation received from the network before the mobile station had a loss of service) (col. 7 lines 48-67) each associated with a predetermined list (neighboring list or BA) (col. 7 lines 48-67) of frequencies (carriers) from all said frequencies (carriers) (col. 7 lines 48-67), and signal intensity not approximately constant before search (i.e. the mobile terminal could not receive a broadcast channel with an approved signal strength) (col. 8 lines 9-25) , scanning all of said frequencies (col. 8 lines 9-25).

Regarding claim 4, Parkkila discloses storing the last frequencies (i.e. cell data containing a list of channels received from a cell of select networks before loss of service) available before disconnection (loss of service) from the network

Art Unit: 2683

(claim 8 lines 28-44 and claim 10 lines 65-67) so that the first scanning sequence scans (reselection measurements on a received signal that has risen above a threshold level) said last available frequencies (i.e. reselection measurements on last BCCH carriers before lost of service, service may be re-established with said network) (col. 7 line 49 through col. 8 line 8 and claim 8 lines 46-56).

Regarding claim 5, Parkkila discloses measuring the intensity (BCCH carrier strength) of the last available frequencies (BCCH carriers before loss of service) of the signal before disconnection (loss of service) from the network (col. 7 lines 25-35).

Regarding claim 6, Parkkila discloses frequency scanning (reselection measurements performed in step 304) is partial (only if channel is found in last BCCH has risen above a predetermined threshold) (col. 10 lines 28-56) only if the intensity (carrier strength) of the last frequencies (last BCCH) available exceeds a predetermined threshold value (within a path loss threshold value C1 or above a predetermined threshold) (col. 7 lines 30-67 and col. 10 lines 28-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9,10,12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klas et al. in view of Yamada et al. and Parkkila, newly recited reference.

Regarding claim 9, Klas et al. discloses a terminal (user terminal) adapted to be connected to one or more radiocommunication networks (CDMA network or AMPS network) (fig. 6 and col. 9 line 39 through col. 10 line 61) operating on different frequencies (different channels) (col. 9 lines 47-55), said terminal (user terminal) comprising: a processor (means) for partially scanning the frequencies (CDMA channels) of the network using one or more sequences (i.e. partial search of previous acquired CDMA channels or partial search of specified CDMA channels) (col. 10 lines 30-61) each of which is associated with a predetermined list (specified list) of frequencies selected from all said frequencies (col. 10 lines 30-61). Klas et al. differs from claim 9 of the present invention in that it does not explicitly disclose means for determining what type of scanning to perform based on signal intensity is constant before a periodic search of the radio network for a signal. Yamada et al. teaches a PCS handset which goes into an automatic mode selection (means) which consists of an Initial Cache Scan or Full scan based on the type of wireless system and the received RSSI (intensity) from the wireless system (col. 12 line 35 through

Art Unit: 2683

col. 14 line 42). Parkkila teaches a mobile station regular searches broadcast channel signal strengths before a loss of service and after a loss of service rescan the broadcast channels for service (col. 7 lines 15-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Klas et al. with means for determining what type of scanning to perform base on signal intensity is constant before a periodic search of the radio network for a signal in order for the mobile terminal to quickly determine if its in the CDMA network or Amps network based upon the type of scanning needed if there is a loss of service for rapid communication with the network, as taught by Yamada et al., and Parkkila.

Regarding claim 10, Klas et al. means (processor) for selecting (i.e. decides to perform) partial scanning of the various frequencies (CDMA channels) (col. 10 lines 30-40).

Regarding claims 12-14, the combination of Klas et al. and Parkkila differs from claims 12-14 in that they do not explicit disclose partial scanning means perform scanning using sequences with a predetermined list of frequencies, means for scanning all said frequencies when the intensity of the signal before the standby was varying; wherein when the signal intensity was varying before standby, scanning all the frequencies of the radiocommunication network. Yamada et al. teaches the intensity (rssi) of the signal before standby (not registered) was constant (col. 13 lines 35-52); partial scanning (Initial Cache scan) means perform scanning using sequences with a predetermined list of frequencies (col. 13 lines 35-67), means for scanning all said frequencies when the intensity of the signal before the standby was varying (col. 13 line 67 through col. 14 line 6); wherein when the signal intensity was varying before standby (col. 14 lines 6-42), scanning all the frequencies of the radiocommunication network (col. 13 line 67 through col. 14 line 42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Klas et al. and Parkkila with whether the intensity of the signal before standby was constant; partial scanning means perform scanning using sequences with a predetermined list of frequencies, means for

Art Unit: 2683

scanning all said frequencies when the intensity of the signal before the standby was varying; wherein when the signal intensity was varying before standby, scanning all the frequencies of the radiocommunication network in order for the mobile terminal to know what system it is in before it loses connection with the network by performing a particular scanning technique, as taught by Yamada et al..

6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parkkila in view of Kallin et al..

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Parkkila discloses a method as discussed supra in claim 1 above. Parkkila differs from claims 2 and 3 of the present invention in that it do not explicit disclose said list of frequencies associated with each sequence does not vary and said list of frequencies associated with each sequence varies. Kallin et al. teaches said list of frequencies associated with each sequence does not vary (fixed) (col. 4 lines 35-38) and said list of frequencies associated with each sequence varies (i.e. frequencies learned based upon current environment) (col. 4 lines 35-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Parkkila with said list of frequencies associated with each sequence does not vary and said list of frequencies associated with each sequence varies in order for the mobile station to rapidly select the best received signal after a loss of service by using the frequencies within

Art Unit: 2683

the neighboring list which are fixed presented by the cell the mobile station is camped on or learned by the mobile station which replaces the frequencies within the neighboring list with frequencies that are stronger carriers for service which saves the mobile station time and energy when scanning for a better cell for service, as taught by Kallin et al..

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parkkila in view of Bamburak et al..

Regarding claim 7, Parkkila discloses a method as discussed supra in claim 1 above. Parkkila differs from claim 7 of the present invention in that it do not explicit disclose determining the number of last frequencies available before disconnection from the network carrying a signal of intensity greater than a predetermined threshold value. Bamburak et al. teaches determining the number of last frequencies available (i.e. a last frequency band of a last service provider) (col. 5 lines 7-9 and claim 1 lines 15-17) before disconnection (powering down) from the network (col. 7 lines 7-9), the service providers provides frequency bands across a spectrum which carry their service operator code (SOC) or system identification code (SID) which the communication device locks onto of the last service provider SOC or SID which is stored within the communication

Art Unit: 2683

device memory (col. 4 line 63 through col. 5 line 19) that carries a signal of intensity greater than a predetermined threshold value (inherent, as the frequency band of the last service provider that is the signal received and examined to be within or above a threshold which the communication device lock onto is the optimal service provider for connection, as taught in col. 4 lines 6-9, col. 5 lines 9-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Parkkila with determining the number of last frequencies available before disconnection from the network carrying a signal of intensity greater than a predetermined threshold value in order for the mobile station not to do a full search of frequencies after a loss of service which saves time when locating a signal for connection and saves battery energy within the mobile station, as taught by Bamburak et al..

Regarding claim 8, Parkkila differs from claim 8 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose the frequency scanning is partial only if said number of last frequencies available carrying a signal of intensity greater than a predetermined threshold intensity is itself greater than a given number. Bamburak et al. teaches the frequency scanning is

Art Unit: 2683

partial (inherent, when the communication device power up and detects if the last frequency band used has a more preferred service provider or is the optimal service provider and selects the last service provider, thereby not completing a full scan, as taught in claim 1 lines 15-17 and col.5 lines 7-19) only if said number of last frequencies (last frequency band of the last service provider) (claim 1 lines 15-17) available carrying a signal of intensity greater than a predetermined threshold intensity is itself greater than a given number (inherent, as the frequency band of the last service provider that is the signal received and examined to be within or above a threshold which the communication device lock onto is the optimal service provider is itself for connection, as taught in col. 4 lines 6-9, col. 5 lines 9-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Parkkila and Shi with the frequency scanning is partial only if said number of last frequencies available carrying a signal of intensity greater than a predetermined threshold intensity is itself greater than a given number in order for the mobile station to shorten its frequency scanning time by not completing a frequency scan of neighboring cells within a list of neighboring frequencies which saves the

Art Unit: 2683

time locating a channel and saves the battery of the mobile station, as taught by Bamburak et al..

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parkkila in view of Findikli.

Regarding claim 15, Parkkila discloses a method as discussed supra in claim 1 above. Parkkila differs from claim 15 of the present invention in that they do not explicitly disclose wherein only when the signal intensity is approximately constant before the periodic network search, executing a partial scan by scanning only some of all of said frequencies. Findikli teaches a method (fig. 3a) wherein the frequency band (i.e. band intensity) of service provider of the terminal is approximately constant before the periodic network search (col. 6 lines 21-37 and col. 7 lines 5-29), executing a partial frequency scanning (col. 7 lines 5-29), and when the frequency band of the terminal is not approximately constant before the periodic network search (col. 7 lines 31-44), performing a scanning of all the frequencies (col. 7 lines 31-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Parkkila with when the signal intensity of the terminal is approximately constant before the periodic network search, executing a partial frequency scanning,

Art Unit: 2683

and when the signal intensity of the terminal is not approximately constant before the periodic network search, performing a scanning of all the frequencies in order for the mobile station to quickly select a carrier based upon its signal strength after a loss of service, as taught by Findikli.

9. Claims 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. in view of Findikli and Parkkila, newly recited reference.

Regarding claim 16, Yamada et al. discloses a method (fig. 6) of connecting a terminal to a radio communication network (fig. 6), said method comprising: determining a signal intensity of the terminal before the terminal performs a periodical network search (col. 12 lines 58-67); and performing the periodical network search by periodically scanning sequences of the radio communication network (col. 13 lines 1-9). Yamada et al. differs from claim 16 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose when the signal intensity of the terminal is approximately constant before the periodic network search, executing a partial frequency scanning, and when the signal intensity of the terminal is not approximately constant before the periodic network search, performing a scanning of all the frequencies. Findikli teaches a method (fig. 3a) wherein executing a partial frequency scanning (col. 7 lines 5-29), and when the frequency band of the terminal is not approximately constant before the periodic network search (col. 7 lines 31-44), performing a scanning of all the frequencies (col. 7 lines 31-44). Parkkila teaches a broadcast signal strength (intensity) of a mobile station is approximately constant before a loss of service (col. 7 lines 15-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Yamada et al. with when the signal intensity of the terminal is approximately constant before the periodic network search, executing a partial frequency scanning, and when the signal intensity of the terminal is not approximately constant before the periodic

Art Unit: 2683

network search, performing a scanning of all the frequencies in order for the PCS handset to select a CMTS system or WTS system quickly when there is a loss of service by not having to continuous scanning, as taught by Findikli.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith T. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7865. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/862,600

Page 14

Art Unit: 2683

KEITH FERGUSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Keith Ferguson

Art Unit 2683

July 22, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Keith S", written over the printed name "Keith Ferguson".